



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 06/06/1995 SHERROL H. MCDONOUGH 213/066 9286 08/480,472 EXAMINER 12/29/2003 21365 7590 MARSCHEL, ARDIN H GEN PROBE INCORPORATED 10210 GENETIC CENTER DRIVE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 1631

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.5					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		08/480,472	MCDONOUGH ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ardin Marschel	1631		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - if NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) More cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  a reply be timely filed  a reply be timely filed  a reply be timely.  b row the mailing date of this communical	tion.	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 O	ctober 2003.			
2a)⊠		action is non-final.		. •	
·—					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) See attached listing. is/are pending i	n the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	• •			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See attached listing.</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) See attached listing. is/are rejected.					
7)[  ]	Claim(s) See attached listing. is/are objected	to.	•		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	۲.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing	ı(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).	
11) 🔲 -	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a) <u>L</u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in A ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage		
13)∐ A sir 37 a) 14)∐ A	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic note a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78.  The translation of the foreign language provices the comment of the c	of the certified copies not priority under 35 U.S.C. t sentence of the specific visional application has b priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Sheen received.  §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specifi	ieet.	
	ference was included in the first sentence of the	specification of in an Ap	oplication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.7	<b>ช</b> .	
Attachment(	s)				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev 11-03) Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' arguments, filed 10/20/03, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

### **SUMMARY OF CLAIMS STATUS**

**Pending claims:** 39-42, 48-51, 54-56, 67-73, 75, 78-80, 82-84, 86, 88-90, 92, 93, 95, 96, 98-162, 164-174, 176-213, and 216-231

**Allowed claims:** 42, 55, 56, 75, 78-80, 82, 83, 95, 96, 100-149, 151-157, 164-167, 169-172, 174, 177-179, 185, 187-191, 195, 196, 199-203, 216-221, and 227-231

**Rejected claims:** 39-41, 67-73, 84, 86, 88-90, 92, 98, 150, 158-162, 168, 173, 176, 180-184, 186, 192-194, 197, 198, 204-213, and 222-226

Objected to claims: 48-51, 54, 93, and 99

**Canceled claims:** 1-38, 43-47, 52, 53, 57-66, 74, 76, 77, 81, 85, 87, 91, 94, 97, 163, 175, 214, and 215

#### PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 08/480,472

Art Unit: 1631

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 39-41, 67-73, 84, 86, 88-90, 92, 98, 150, 158-162, 168, 173, 176, 180-184, 186, 192-194, 197, 198, 204-213, and 222-226 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boddinghaus et al. [J. Clin. Microbiol. (1990) 28 :1751] taken in view of Suzuki et al. [J. of Bact. (1988) 170(6):2886].

This rejection is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 6/17/03. Applicants argue that there is no suggestion or motivation to prepare probes and/or primers for distinguishing between nucleic acids of M. bovis BCG and E. coli or S. lividans as described via sequence differences in Suzuki et al. In response the Office action, mailed 8/28/02, originally set forth this rejection and cited the abstract and page 1751 introduction of Boddinghaus et al. as generically suggesting and motivating the preparation of probes and/or primers to distinguish microorganisms via sequence differences therebetween. Thus, such generic suggestion and motivation is reasonably deemed to suggest species of probes and/or primers where the prior art describes sequence differences for preparation of such probes and/or primers. The rejection is then based also on the Suzuki et al. description of a number of sequence differences which therefore in combination with the above pointed to Boddinghaus et al. motivation and suggestion to make probes and/or primers therefrom results in a series of optional probe and/or primer species. This series is deemed to include many such species of

Art Unit: 1631

probes and/or primers some of which are instantly claimed. Applicants have not argued this basis for the rejection nor have they set forth any argument as to what may be unexpected results, for example, regarding the specific instantly claimed species of probes and/or primers. Therefore the instantly claimed probes and/or primers are reasonably suggested and motivated species out of a series of possibilities given the above combination of references.

#### **CLAIM OBJECTIONS**

Claims 48-51, 54, 93, and 99 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 08/480,472

Art Unit: 1631

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

December 22, 2003

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER